

# MINUTES

# **Annual General Meeting**

Perth Convention Exhibition Centre
Perth

6 AUGUST 2014





# Table of Contents

Annual General Meeting – Order of Proceedings3
4.1 ESL Administration Fees Paid to Local Governments (05-024-02-0059 AH)5
4.2 Implications of Structural Reform (05-034-01-0103 TB)15
4.3 Bushfire Management – Support Vehicles (05-024-03-0010 AH)17
4.4 Contaminated Sites – Auditing Requirements (05-020-01-0001 MB)20
4.5 Review of Section 6.28 of the Local Government Act 1995 - Valuation of Land (05-034-01-0007 JMc)23
4.6A MATTER OF SPECIAL URGENT BUSINESS: Opposition to Commission of Audit Recommendations24
4.6B MATTER OF SPECIAL URGENT BUSINESS: Opposition to Commission of Audit Recommendations25
4.7A MATTER OF SPECIAL URGENT BUSINESS: Local Government Reform - Dadour Poll Provisions27
4.7B MATTER OF SPECIAL URGENT BUSINESS: Local Government Reform - Dadour Poll Provisions28

Minutes -Annual General Meeting 2014



# **Annual General Meeting**

Western Australian Local Government Association Annual General Meeting held at the Perth Convention and Exhibition Centre, Business Session commencing at 3.45pm.

# 1.1 Record of Attendance and Apologies

**Apologies** 

- Cr Geoff Amphlett
- Cr David Michael
- Mayor Ron Yuryevich

#### 1.2 Announcements

 2 Matters of Special Urgent Business have been received and will be considered separately following the listed Executive and Member Motions.

#### 2.0 Confirmation of Minutes

Minutes of the 2013 WALGA Annual General Meeting are contained within this AGM Agenda.

Moved: Cr G Pule (Bassendean)

Seconded: President Cr W Barrett (Murray)

That the Minutes of the 2013 Annual General Meeting be confirmed as a true and correct record of proceedings.

**CARRIED** 

# 3.0 Adoption of President's Annual Report

The President's Annual Report for 2013/2014 is contained within this AGM Agenda.

Moved: President Cr E O'Connell (Nungarin) Seconded: Mayor H Zelones (Armadale)

That the President's Annual Report for 2013/2014 be received.

**CARRIED** 

#### 4.0 WALGA 2013/2014 Financial Statements

The audited 2013/2014 WALGA Financial Statements will be distributed to all members prior to the meeting.

Moved: President Cr W Barrett (Murray) Seconded: President Cr K Chappel (Morawa)

That the WALGA Financial Statements for 2013/2014 be received.

**CARRIED** 



#### **Consideration of Executive and Member Motions** 5.0

As per motions listed.

#### 6.0 Closure

There being no further business, the President declared the meeting closed at 5.11pm.



# 4. Consideration of Executive and Member Motions

# 4.1 ESL Administration Fees Paid to Local Governments (05-024-02-0059 AH)

Shire of Dardanup:

**Moved: Cr P Robinson (Dardanup)** 

Seconded: President Cr M Bennett (Dardanup)

That WALGA negotiate with the Department of Fire & Emergency Services to:

- 1. Annually increase the ESL Administration Fee paid to Local Governments by price and property growth indexation.
- 2. Make a once off increase to the total funds allocated for the ESL Administration Fee funds paid to Local Governments in 2015/16. This increase is recommended to be a compounded on annual property growth and price (CPI) since the introduction of the ESL.

### IN BRIEF

- The Emergency Services Levy (ESL) in 2003 to fund career and volunteer fire brigades, volunteer State Emergency Service (SES) units and volunteer emergency service units.
- The ESL has not been reviewed since introduced.
- State Council policy position supports the need for a a full review of the ESL to ensure funds are allocated for mitigation and response activities and to allow Local Government to have more control over the allocation and distribution of funds. RESOLUTION 219.3/2013.

### **AMENDMENT**

Moved: Cr P Robinson (Dardanup)

**Seconded: President Cr M Bennett (Dardanup)** 

#### **That WALGA**

- 1. Prepare a business case to DFES to demonstrate that the fee payments to Local Governments no longer cover all of the ESL related costs incurred by local government in collecting the ESL.
- 2. Negotiate an annual increase to the ESL Administration Fee paid to local governments by price and property growth indexation.
- 3. <u>Negotiate with DFES</u> to make a once off increase to the total funds allocated for the ESL Administration Fee funds paid to local governments in 2015/16. This increase is recommended to be compounded on annual property growth and price (CPI) since the introduction of the ESL.

The Amendment was put and CARRIED

The Amendment became the Motion and was put and CARRIED



#### **MEMBER COMMENT**

The Emergency Services Levy (ESL) was introduced by the WA State Government in 2003 to fund career and volunteer fire brigades, volunteer State Emergency Service (SES) units and volunteer emergency service units throughout the State.

The ESL also funds Department of Fire & Emergency Services' (DFES) corporate support costs.

The ESL is a State Government charge which is levied on rates notices issued by Local Governments. All ESL money collected by each Local Government is paid directly to DFES.

Local Governments are paid an annual administration fee to cover the cost of managing the billing and collection of the levy.

DFES allocates a fixed amount per year towards the ESL administration fee paid to Local Government. This total pool of funds has remained unchanged at \$2,250,000 since 2004/05 (Appendix 1).

Over the same 10 year time period, the total amount of ESL levied state wide has increased approximately 115% from \$116.16m \$249.70m (Appendix 2).

# **Budget Implications -**

The Shire of Dardanup has experienced an ongoing decline in the actual ESL administration fee it receives.

Cost increases in terms of price and wage indexation together with the cost of administering greater numbers of properties has left the Shire with an increased burden of the cost of administering the ESL.

# Officer Comment

Table A details the ESL administration fee paid to the Shire of Dardanup since 2004/05.

The annual administration fee paid to Council has seen an actual decline.

In 2004/05 Shire of Dardanup was receiving approximately \$1.99 per rateable assessment for the ESL administration fee.

This has seen an ongoing decline each year and as at 2013/14 the ESL administration fee paid to the Shire of Dardanup equated to approximately \$1.22 per rateable assessment.

Since 2004/05 the number of properties has increased in the Shire by 42.51% with a corresponding 138.64% increase in the amount of ESL levied on Shire of Dardanup properties and paid to the State.



Table A							
	ESL Admin	% Inc /	ESL	%	Rateable	\$ / rateable	%
	Fee	Dec	Levied	Inc / Dec	Assessments	Assessment	Inc / Dec
2004/05	\$7,710		\$153,757		3,872	1.99	
2005/06	\$8,030	4.15%	\$173,817	13.05%	4,145	1.94	-2.71%
2006/07	\$8,160	1.62%	\$185,834	6.91%	4,483	1.82	-6.04%
2007/08	\$8,450	3.55%	\$264,971	42.58%	4,773	1.77	-2.74%
2008/09	\$8,310	-1.66%	\$238,804	-9.88%	4,968	1.67	-5.52%
2009/10	\$8,110	-2.41%	\$256,257	7.31%	5,098	1.59	-4.90%
2010/11	\$7,460	-8.01%	\$300,620	17.31%	5,130	1.45	-8.59%
2011/12	\$7,310	-2.01%	\$325,184	8.17%	5,292	1.38	-5.01%
2012/13	\$7,060	-3.42%	\$343,660	5.68%	5,395	1.31	-5.26%
2013/14	\$6,730	-4.67%	\$366,921	6.77%	5,518	1.22	-6.80%
10 Yr average	-12.71%		138.64%		42.51%	-38.75%	

It is evident that the ESL administration fee paid to Local Government has no population growth and price indexation mechanism.

Costs for administering the ESL on behalf of the State include:

- Staff costs for managing the rating system.
- Stationery (envelopes, rate notice printing).
- Postage.
- Software support costs.
- Associated support overheads.
- Debt recovery action.
- Ratepayers may elect to pay their rates in 4 instalments. For many ratepayers Council is therefore required to send 4 rate notices per financial year with associated incurred costs.

The declining amounts paid to the Shire for administering the ESL on behalf of DFES are grossly inadequate.

#### SECRETARIAT COMMENT

The aggregate annual ESL Administration Fee amount that DFES pays to Local Governments is approved by the Minister for Emergency Services (in accordance with the State Budget) and published annually in the *Government Gazette*.

It is correct that the fee has remained constant at \$2.25 million since the introduction of the ESL in 2003/04. A "once off" additional "Commencement Fee" of \$0.25 million was also paid in 2003/04 to assist Councils during the ESL implementation period.

The annual fee is distributed to Local Governments in accordance with a formula (originally approved by the ESL Executive Steering Committee) which is outlined in section 6 of the 'ESL Manual of Operating Procedures'. The distribution formula takes into account the amount of ESL funds each Local Government raises and the number of ESL assessments each issue's. The fee distribution formula has been the subject of two reviews to-date. Review participants (including WALGA and Local Government representatives) supported the retention of the original formulae.

Importantly however, the \$2.25m pool that is allocated to Local Governments under the formula was not reviewed.



The established State Government policy reflects the view of the then Minister and FESA that the \$2.25 million fee amount was reasonable and more than adequate to cover all of Local Government's 'out of pocket' ESL related expenses.

The \$2.25m pool was determined on the basis of an investigation, on FESA's behalf, by Ron Back in August 1998 into the additional cost/workload that a sample group of Local Governments would incur as administrators of the originally proposed property-based Fire Services Levy. Mr Back concluded that: "On the basis of the evidence obtained from this review, and having regard to my own understanding of operating practices at other Councils, I was unable to identify any significant additional recurrent costs of the proposed new levy system arrangements to the participating Councils." Mr Back presented his findings to a meeting of Local Government finance managers (principally metropolitan) held during that period.

WALGA has been advised by DFES that any request to increase the ESL Administration Fee pool would need to be justified by the sector and would require a business case that demonstrates that fee payments no longer cover all of the ESL related costs incurred by Local Governments.



Item 4.1 – Attachment 1

### ADMIN FEES PAID TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FOR PERIOD 2003-04 TO 2013-14

(includes 'Start Up' Fee)

					N FEE PAID nd '2003/04 ESL	Administration Fe	ee' included in 20	03/04 Figures)				
LG	2003-04 *	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	Total
ALBANY	38,600	33,220	31,920	31,440	32,760	31,250	30,850	29,820	28,640	27,700	25,620	342
ARMADALE	51,850	46,390	45,530	45,260	45,190	47,170	47,550	48,440	49,520	49,930	50,980	527
ASHBURTON	7,500	5,690	5,460	5,330	5,090	5,050	4,500	4,410	4,100	4,580	4,420	56,
AUGUSTA-MARGARET RIVER	16,200	13,540	13,150	13,630	13,830	13,860	13,570	12,780	12,260	11,770	11,090	145
BASSENDEAN	16,210	14,530	14,100	13,770	13,500	13,360	13,460	13,290	13,890	13,820	13,790	153
BAYSWATER	67,090	63,250	62,040	60,860	58,980	59,190	58,550	57,930	58,280	58,260	57,860	662
BELMONT	40,860	38,490	38,150	38,600	38,650	38,750	38,610	39,490	41,450	41,370	42,170	436
BEVERLEY	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,900	4,000	4,000	4,000	45
BODDINGTON	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45
BOYUP BROOK	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4:
BRIDGETOWN-GREENBUSHES	6,500	4,990	4,840	4,810	5,230	5,150	5,030	4,560	4,400	4,170	4,000	53
BROOKTON	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4
BROOME	11,940	10,300	10,090	10,210	10,280	10,050	10,440	10,310	9,670	9,640	9,400	113
BROOMEHILL-TAMBELLUP	9,150	5,840	6,850	6,850	7,630	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	6
BRUCE ROCK	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4:
BUNBURY	36,330	32,530	31,830	31,220	30,900	32,300	31,810	31,140	29,740	29,040	28,230	34:
BUSSELTON	36,500	31,250	32,240	31,970	32,280	30,510	32,470	30,370	28,840	27,950	26,420	34
CAMBRIDGE	29,330	26,640	26,510	25,850	25,390	23,820	25,090	25,090	24,540	24,390	24,290	28
CANNING	85,430	84,660	85,850	85,360	84,720	84,470	83,810	85,290	84,450	84.090	85,320	93
CAPEL	10,340	8,770	8,920	9,500	9,710	10,050	9,880	9,300	9,060	8,750	8,230	10
CARNAMAH	5,500	3,930	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4.000	4
CARNARVON	5,930	4,500	4,290	4,140	4,060	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4
CHAPMAN VALLEY	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4
CHITTERING	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4:
CLAREMONT	12,490	11,630	11,770	11,560	11.820	11,470	11,180	11,630	12,050	11,910	12,490	13
							79,240	1000000	81,350		2000000	84
COCKBURN	71,210	70,400	72,470	74,090	75,480	77,490		80,910		82,310	B4,430	
COLLIE	10,290	8,240	7,910	7,600	7,350	7,270	6,950	6,480	6,170	5,870	5,600	7
COOLGARDIE	6,700	5,250	4,950	4,760	4,660	4,910	4,120	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	
COOROW	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4
CORRIGIN	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4
COTTESLOE	10,510	9,390	9,280	9,030	8,880	8,970	8,820	8,810	8,650	8,530	8,480	9
CRANBROOK	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4
CUBALLING	5.500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4
CUE	4,990	3,410	3,810	3,910	3,760	3,700	3,640	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4
CUNDERDIN	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,008	4,000	4,000	4
DALWALLINU	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4
DANDARAGAN	6,630	4,880	5,120	5,260	5,600	5,900	5,630	5,190	4,960	4,700	4,410	5
DARDANUP	9,590	7,710	8,030	8,160	8,450	8,310	8,110	7,460	7,310	7,060	6,730	8
DENMARK	8,310	6,620	6,490	6,300	5,960	6,080	5,800	5,440	5,280	5,010	4,710	(
DERBY-WEST KIMBERLEY	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4
DONNYBROOK-BALINGUP	6,240	5,170	5,110	5,050	4,870	4,870	4,730	4,500	4,300	4,130	4,000	
DOWERIN	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	
DUMBLEYUNG	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	
DUNDAS	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	- 2
EAST FREMANTLE	8,600	7,760	7,5€0	7,410	7,260	7,160	7,040	6,960	7,000	6,890	6,820	
EAST PILBARA	6,700	5,210	4,820	4,920	4,460	5,000	4,580	4,450	4,040	4,730	4,700	
ESPERANCE	16,640	13,470	12,970	12,520	12,420	12,070	11.980	11,050	10,410	9.880	9.280	1

<sup>\*</sup> includes total of 2003/04 fee plus 2003/04 Start Up fee



LG	2003-04 *	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	Total
EXMOUTH	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,50
FREMANTLE	37,730	36,040	36,220	36,340	35,800	35,500	36,650	36,620	36,760	37,100	37,540	401,30
GINGIN	11,550	9,160	8,930	8,580	8,270	8,460	8,040	7,430	30,620	6,750	6,300	114,09
GNOWANGERUP	5.500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4.000	4,000	4.000	4,000	7,100	4,000	4,000	48.60
GOOMALLING	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,50
GOSNELLS	84,280	82.050	82,230	81,440	82,070	81,640	81,310	81,800	4,000	82,540	80,850	824.2
GREATER GERALDTON	43,860	37,240	35,820	35,930	36,510	38,080	37.350	35,320	82,590	31,550	30,130	445.41
HALLS CREEK	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4.000	4.000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,50
HARVEY	21,460	17,270	17,340	17,870	18,180	17,800	17,370	16,050	15,870	15,150	14,310	188,67
IRWIN	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,050	4,110	4,080	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45.7
JERRAMUNGUP	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4.000	45.50
JOONDALUP	143,230	140,820	137,310	134,430	132,140	132,600	129,940	128,640	125,400	123,830	123,150	1,451,49
KALAMUNDA	47,990	43,460	42.710	42.020	41,430	40.850	40,160	39,590	41,160	40,800	40,630	460,80
KALGOORLIE-BOULDER	34,070	29,830	29,490	28,890	28,570	26,310	28,340	27,960	25,150	25,030	24,690	308,3
KATANNING	5,500	4,050	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4.000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,5
KELLERBERRIN	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,50
KENT	5,500	3,860	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,3
KOJONUP	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,5
KONDININ	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,50
KOORDA	4,900	3,010	3,500	3,500	3,700	3,820	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	42,4
KULIN	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,5
KWINANA	23,720	19,930	20,350	23,040	23,130	23,470	23,440	23,510	25,030	25,360	26,160	257,10
LAKE GRACE	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4.000	4.000	4,000	45,5
LAVERTON	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,5
LEONORA	5,500	4,000	4,000	4.000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4.000	4,000	45,5
MANDURAH	71,100	66,710	67,310	71,270	71,280	68,420	69,330	72,260	65,230	64,650	65,020	752,58
MANJIMUP	13,730	10,890	10,190	9,970	9,420	9,110	8,670	8,000	7,840	7,270	6,800	101,89
MEEKATHARRA	5,500	4.000	4.000	4,000	4.000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,50
MELVILLE	102,330	99,600	97,260	95,770	94,470	91,670	90,560	89,780	87,730	86,500	85,540	1,021,2
MENZIES	5,500	4,000	3,790	3,540	3.910	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	44.74
MERREDIN	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,50
MINGENEW	4,330	2,900	3,310	3,260	3,620	3,750	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	41,17
MODRA	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,900	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,5
MORAWA	5,600	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,5
MOSMAN PARK	10.210	9,210	9,070	8,810	8,630	8,320	8,350	8,250	8,250	8,070	8,000	95,1
MOUNT MAGNET	5,500	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,5
MOUNT MARSHALL	5,500	3,920	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45.4
MUKINBUDIN	4,870	3,270	3,870	3,820	4.000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	43.8
MUNDARING	35,720	30,300	29,750	28,860	28,040	27,540	26,850	25,670	25,120	24,430	23,700	305,9
MURCHISON	1,260	190	320	340	320	340	390	450	510	570	500	5,1
MURRAY	15,450	12,310	12,220	11,790	12,010	12,660	12,570	11,780	10,970	10,880	10,210	132,8
NANNUP	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,5
NAREMBEEN	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,5
NARROGIN (SHIRE)	5,280	3,590	4,600	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	44,8
NARROGIN (TOWN)	5,500	4,110	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45,6

<sup>\*</sup> Includes total of 2003/04 fee plus 2003/04 Start Up fee



NEDIANDS	LG	2003-04 *	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	Total
NOADTYNAME   1,000	NEDI ANDS	23.910					19.950		-	20,300	20,090	20,100	227,7
NORTHAMPON   13,866   16,844   16,144   3,866   4,36		2,51,600,000	399420000	20040000	220200000	10000000000	1856393630						1,5
NORTHWINTON   \$2.00													104,1
NEMBARRY 2820 1,500 1,500 1,720 1,720 1,720 1,720 1,200 1,200 1,200 1,200 1,200 1,200 1,200 1,00					1995-1975		1000000						51,
PEPERIONITY GROVE			1000000000	10.50000000		100,000,000	11000000000						25.
PERPLORIS   5.00   3.270   3.280   4.000   4													45,
PERTIN   46,670   67,300   40,300   61,400   4,000		1873,1624,000	13505139	(800000)	11200000000	1000000	2850200				·····		44,
PRINTELLY   S.50						100000000000000000000000000000000000000	10000000			100.00000			790.
PANTAGORIET   8,140										- 2			45,
PORT HEDLAND   12,620   10,666   10,800   9,810   9,840   9,176   10,220   10,230   18,800   110,500   4,000				336-3295	120000000000000000000000000000000000000	Decime on	265,006,1435	Sectional	185,000,00	Maria Assessed	238201000	The Calleton	59,
CAMPANDING   5.500   4.000													112,
PAVENSTHOPPE	······································		1,600,0000		7,000,000,000	10000000	70,990,000						45,
ROCKINGHAM   82,140   81,000   81,480   92,880   82,370   82,500   81,700   75,000   75,000   77,700													45,
NOBEQUENNE   15,640   13,860   13,310   13,080   13,180   12,810   13,850   13,720   13,330   15,180   15,760													889,
SANDSTONE   2,600   1,320   1,620   1,330   1,620   1,390   1,470   1,660   1,780   2,650   2,280   2,320   2,460   2,527   2,460   2,527   2,460   2,527   2,520   3,460   1,000   1,000   1,1000   1,	High and the community of the community	(Elevative System)	20106200	Charles and Carlotter and Carl	1795503042090	AP-DEGOODS					15.10(6,8156)(2)		152,
SERPENTINE-JARRAHDALE 12,000 8,880 8,800 10,380 11,000 11,200 11,200 11,140 10,770 10,880 11,000 11,000 SHARK KAY 5,500 4,000 5 STIRLING 200,640 22,200 199,210 196,400 193,100 192,970 190,520 190,520 192,780 192,980 193,710 2,000 10,000 1			775007.00										21.
SHARK BAY 5.500 4.000 4.		A12030-879 F								100000	CALCAS I		119.
SOUTH PERTH 56,970 46,880 46,300 45,450 44,550 43,830 43,800 42,510 41,300 40,900 40,610 TRIRLING 200,404 202,280 199,210 196,000 193,100 192,970 190,920 190,520 192,780 192,780 192,800 193,710 2. SUBIACO 25,510				100,000	2000000	10000000							45.
STIRLING 20,640 202,620 199,210 196,400 193,100 192,970 196,920 190,520 192,780 192,780 193,770 2 SUBIACO 25,010 24,100 24,390 24,380 24,380 24,380 24,480 55,900 25,540 25,570 25,810 SWAN 80,250 85,350 85,870 86,720 86,					2502-015	1930-10			20000				486,
Subject	1 000 - 000										200000000000	//2/2007/00/	2,145,
SWAN 88,290 86,360 85,670 86,720 86,880 89,000 90,340 88,830 96,180 97,930 99,220 TAMMIN 3,890 2,280 2,660 2,640 2,840 3,320 3,570 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 TAMMIN 3,890 2,280 2,660 2,640 2,840 3,320 3,570 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 TOODYYY 5,880 5,520 5,240 5,100 4,920 4,750 4,750 4,180 4,040 4,000 4,000 4,000 TOODYYY 5,880 5,520 5,240 5,100 4,920 3,320 3,830 3,760 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 TRAYNING 4,300 3,020 2,480 3,020 3,320 3,430 3,760 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 UPPER GASCOYNE 1,500 510 500 500 510 580 510 730 880 910 1,070 TOODYYY 1,000													273,
TAMMIN 3,850 2,280 2,560 2,540 2,840 3,320 3,570 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 1 THREE SPRINGS 5,100 3,230 3,750 3,890 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 1 TOODYAY 6,880 5,20 5,240 5,100 4,920 4,750 4,570 4,180 4,040 4,000 4,000 4,000 1 TOODYAY 6,880 5,20 5,240 5,100 4,920 4,750 4,570 4,180 4,040 4,000 4,000 1 TOODYAY 6,880 5,20 5,240 5,100 5,000 3,320 3,430 3,760 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 1 TOODYAY 6,880 5,100			121000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	175 Sept. 2010.000	125074690000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	2500000000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		- CONTRACTOR (CO.)	998.
THREE SPRINGS 5.100 3.220 3.750 3.890 4.000 4.000 4.000 4.000 4.000 4.000 4.000 4.000 4.000 TOODYAY 6.880 5.420 5.240 5.100 4.920 4.750 4.570 4.180 4.040 4.040 4.000 4.000 TRANNING 4.300 3.020 2.490 3.020 3.320 3.320 3.320 3.760 4.000 4.000 4.000 4.000 4.000 4.000 UPPER GASCOYNE 1.500 500 500 500 510 580 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 50		200000000000000000000000000000000000000	100000000	100253830		7,000,000,000							36.
TOGOYAY 5.880 5.420 5.240 5.100 4.920 4.750 4.570 4.180 4.040 4.000 4.000 4.000 1.00													43,
TRAYNING 4.300 3.020 2.490 3.020 3.320 3.430 3.760 4.000 4.000 4.000 4.000 4.000 UPPER GASCOYNE 1.500 510 500 510 580 510 580 510 730 880 910 1.070 UPPER GASCOYNE 1.500 560 510 580 510 580 510 730 880 910 1.070 UPPER GASCOYNE 1.500 36,280 36,280 36,520 36,480 36,070 36,470 36,280 35,200 32,886 34,910 34,940 VICTORIA PLAINS 5.500 4.000	Control of the Contro			100,50000		1000000	1000000000	.0045.00000	13.5.2(2).35	925,025,00	1(0.324(2.32))	370000	43, 53,
UPPER GASCOYNE 1,500 510 500 500 500 510 580 510 700 880 910 1,070 VICTORIA PARK 39,080 36,280 36,520 36,440 36,070 36,470 36,260 35,200 34,860 34,910 34,940 VICTORIA PARK 39,080 36,000 4,000													39,
VICTORIA PARK 39,000 36,280 36,520 36,440 36,070 36,470 36,260 35,200 34,880 34,910 34,940 VICTORIA PLAINS 5,500 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 VINCERT 38,470 35,740 35,550 35,260 36,260 36,510 36,010 36,370 34,790 34,500 34,410 VINCERT 38,470 36,740 4,000 4,		16000000	18807000	V384172048	(800,000,000)	THE PROPERTY OF		0.1072/0.00					39,
VICTORIA PLAINS 5,500 4,000 4,				70,000	97.0000			7,500,5	90.074				
VINCENT 38,470 35,740 35,550 35,260 36,260 36,510 36,010 36,370 34,790 34,500 34,410  WAGIN 5,500 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000  WANDERING 3,610 2,100 2,440 2,540 3,270 3,940 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000  WANDEROO 99,440 99,940 101,180 166,530 111,100 117,260 118,800 120,650 122,840 124,350 126,110 1,  WAROONA 5,810 4,440 4,260 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,100 4,000					10000000	45.5							397,
WAGIN   5.500   4.00			170000000	33,000	300000	Indicas years		11 2 Section 1	886,00000			7940/02/27	45,
WANDERING         3,610         2,100         2,440         2,540         3,270         3,940         4,000	with								111110000	100000		100-01	393,
WANNEROO         93,440         94,940         101,180         106,530         111,100         117,280         118,800         120,650         122,840         124,350         126,110         1           WARDONA         5,810         4,440         4,260         4,090         4,000		1000000	100,000,000	10000000	120000								45,
WAROONA         5.810         4.440         4.280         4.090         4.000         <			7,000,000	500,000,000									37,
WEST ARTHUR         5,500         4,000         3,350         3,640         3,850         3,800         3,800         3,800         3,800         3,800         3,800         3,800         4,000										1000			1,237,
WESTONIA   3,300   1,810   2,120   2,140   2,430   2,550   2,900   3,350   3,640   3,850   3,800		22400000	300,000,00	100007700	10000000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000				100000000000000000000000000000000000000	20000000		46,
WICKEPIN         5,280         3,580         4,000	With the second									17.50490000			45,
WILLIAMS 5.50 4.00 4.00 2.830 4.000 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4	ALCOHOL: THE	177 30000	192,01003	(0)(0)(0)(0)	1000000000	900000					30		31,
WILINA         4,20         2,720         3,180         3,080         3,280         3,730         4,000 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>200-110-2</td><td>200,000,000</td><td></td><td>1000 100000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>44,</td></th<>						200-110-2	200,000,000		1000 100000				44,
WONGAN-BALLIDU         5,500         4,000	WILLIAMS		4,000										44.
WOODANILING         3,780         2,300         2,640         2,660         3,330         3,560         3,880         4,000	WILUNA	2,340,100			1999900000	1,000,000,000	3,730				1000000		40,
WYALKATCHEM         5,400         3,650         4,000	WONGAN-BALLIDU			- 1									45.
WYNDHAM-EAST KIMBERLEY         5,500         4,060         4,000         4,150         4,060         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         2,810         2,870         2,990         3,180           YILGARN         5,500         4,000 </td <td>WOODANILLING</td> <td>3,780</td> <td>2,300</td> <td></td> <td>2,660</td> <td></td> <td>3,560</td> <td>3,860</td> <td>4,000</td> <td>4,000</td> <td>(3000)</td> <td>4,000</td> <td>36,</td>	WOODANILLING	3,780	2,300		2,660		3,560	3,860	4,000	4,000	(3000)	4,000	36,
YALGOO 3,280 1,890 2,200 2,090 2,350 2,180 2,090 2,510 2,870 2,980 3,180 YILGARN 5,500 4,0	WYALKATCHEM	5,400	3,650	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45
YILGARN 5,500 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000	WYNDHAM-EAST KIMBERLEY	5,500	4,060	4,000	4,150	4,060	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45
	YALGO0	3,280	1,890	2,200	2,090	2,350	2,180	2.090	2,610	2,870	2,990	VII.	27
YORK 5,830 4,350 4,230 4,050 4,130 4,010 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000	YILGARN	5,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	45
	YORK	5,830	4,350	4,230	4,060	4,130	4,010	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	46.

<sup>\*</sup> Includes total of 2003/04 fee plus 2003/04 Start Up fee



		ш	ESL BILLING BY LOCAL G	OVERNMENTS (Final Dec	slared Adjusted Amounts ir	Iclude ESL Interest and ES	BILLING BY LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (Final Declared Adjusted Amounts Include ESI, interest and ESI, Deferment Interest Reported to DFES	ded to DFES)			
YELLOW Denotes local governments that:-			Adr	ninister ESL under the 'Opt 130 ESL billing adjustr	ion B - 1" arrangement, req 1% by 21 September, 30% I nents are required to be do	uring that they pay DFES by 21 December, 36% by 2 clared on an Annexure A l	Administer ESL under the "Opins B - 1" arrangement, recurring that that your DEES the full amount of ESL twicked b 4 quarterly instalments.  [D01, by 12 September, 305, by 12 December, 305, by 21 lister) and 105, by 12 Lister)  [ESL billing adjustments are required to be obtained on an American A by 31 Advant are adjusted in rest instalment]	ced in 4 quariedy instalme nej in next instalment]	nis-		
GREEN Denotes local governments that:-		Autorope	PA	minister ESL under the 'Op  ESL billing adjustr	tion B - 2' arrangement, red nexts are required to be de	quiring that they pay DFES [100% by 21 September] sclared on an Annezure A.	Administer ESL under the Option B - 2 arrangement, requiring this type AppESS the full amount of ESL monicad in one abigle briddinant [ESL billing adjustments are required to be declared on an Anneause A by 31 Ady and are adjusted in next tratament]	icad in one single instalme In next instalment]	ant –		
LOCAL GOVERNMENT	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Doclared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Doctared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing (# Yet to be finalised)	ESL Billing Initial Declarati [# Yel to be finali
	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
ALBARY	883,374	1,310,284	1,301,975	1,381,935	1,869,433	1,629,476	1,762,006	2,099,162	2,110,914	2,191,329	2,328,634
ARMADALE	1,335,146	2,150,169	2,321,526	2,444,749	2,635,318	2,919,627	3,275,121	4,059,419	4,524,407	4,981,085	5,558,863
ASHBURTON	111,978	127,340	130,804	139,394	140,417	176,553	189,350	224,155	196,981	367,222	393,889
AUGUSTA-MARGARET RIVER	244,100	272,144	329,996	349,235	391,840	381,431	451,457	1 202 105 1	546,695	573,177 564,530	1 806 950
BASSERUEAN	30,504	835,753 6	107016	246.500 A	010001	1 601 661	One change	A4.5 20.0 3	1,503,000 6 AAB 647	7,000,000	723.788.7
BEIMONT	1.723.505	2.848.802	3.210,731	3.384,446	3.601.909	3.683.237	3.938.030	4,722,883	5.511,000	5.8-1.960	6.353.179
BEVERLEY	36.865	36,983	43,756	44,367	49,663	53,743	59.059	67,870	76,259	79,291	84,074
BODDWIGTON	26,943	27,554	32,747	870,65	36,338	39,360	14,591	96,350	62,975	66,949	70,200
BOYUP BROOK	33,804	34,340	40,412	40,869	47,508	625,05	53,128	155,551	70,526	75,586	77,487
BRIDGETOWN-GREENBUSHES	83,533	86,849	96,844	102.647	133,813	133,592	145,897	169,656	186,697	193,675	203,805
BROOKTON	20,254	21,100	24,566	25,200	27,354	28,797	31,037	36,743	39,631	41,336	43,926
BROOME	347,861	422,338	471,451	498,868	545,823	459,233	549,164	255,277	694,730	761,167	824,855
BROOMEHEL-TAMBELLUP	22,246	22,156	27,685	27,663	30,537	32,520	34,959	40,700	44,660	46,740	49,260
BRUCE ROCK	21,180	20,660	24,045	23.730	25,840	27,160	29,756	34,700	38,665	-40,128	43,070
BUNBURY	1,122,116	1,981,993	1,921,526	2,027,026	2,193,428	2,333,850	2,498,596	2,976,001	2,894,962	2,981,604	3,174,225
BUSSELTON	1 309,024	12,317	201,163	35005	FOUNDER	334505	7 525 502	1,405,191	3.647.487	3.195.821	2419145
CANNEG	3 808 174	BBG 795.8	7 250 774	7,637,652	8.111.168	8.248.966	8.883.586	10.661.527	11,017,801	11.654.587	12.641.648
CAPEL	126,718	135,175	167,475	186,585	218,500	245,120	272,233	329,700	366,135		411,900
CARNAMAH	15,720	15,810	18,305	18,830	18,648	20,670	22,274	27,224	28,930		30,780
CARNARVON	865'66	113,537	115,581	129.460	137,295	137,296	130,677	204,967	172,863	163,916	196,798
CHAPMAN VALLEY	18,354	18,247	21,633	25,182	28,614	29,680	32,293	39,950	14,550	48,051	105
снитеямо	26,730	58,840	69,634	73,500	84,741	93,480	104,831	124,700	142,780	151,036	160,440
CLAREMORIT	110,969	305,440	1,091,697	980'511'1	1,159,436	1,417,000	0.243,625,	257.827.8	0.356 443	270 521 01	6,5/0,7/8
COLLIE	143.552	139.453	163.131	170.074	187,305	193,077	207.895	273,140	270,222	282.611	317,616
COOLGARDIE	86,730	102,817	113,138	121.608	134,857	130,693	116,118	159,426	181,849	174,872	2,691
COOROW	31,203	31,910	38,757	39,544	43,168	46,803	49,927	58,327	63,745		70,020
CORRIGIN	25,625	25,021	29,303	186,62	32,926	33,502	086'9£	14,690	46,628	0 0 00	50,622
COTTESLOE	485,577	674,955	732,569	747,655	785,881	863,149	909,515	1,067,143	1,094,230	-	1,218,780
CRANBROOK	24,007	24,425	29,036	29,120	31,312	33,400	36,077	42,500	47,025	48,621	51,240
CUBALLING	12,761	17,712	20,913	20,776	24,085	25,990	28,870	33,526	37,050	38,304	40,74D
cue	13,366	13,629	14,702	13,931	14,943	14,762	16,297	18,402	20,755	22,562	25,500
CUNDERDIN	26,500	25,205	30,033	29,798	35,290	35,142	37,692	14,450	45,707	50,712 #	49,4
DALWALLINU	30.525	30.901	35,166	35.566	37,367	41,084	14,121	51,556	54,655	55,140	61,458
DANDARAGAN	78,480	95,476	103,507	169,111	134,783	L16,261	176,171	67/102	797 300	600,010	GRO'I EZ
DARDANUP	133,620	153,757	173,517	957.94F	1704,977	238,804	162,962	300,000	421,654 171 F25	343,650	260,320
DEWMARK	epolint.	009070	135,160	00,001	505001	360 00	090.00	010,000	2011/02	161611	503 501
DEKRY-WEST KIMBERLET	eto ca	277 98	197700	100,000	418.557	423 020	124 289	175 222	181 008	160.115	350 900
DOWERS	15.724	16.050	18.620	18.480	20.482	21,950	677.62	27.900	31,020	32.262	33,600
DUMBLEYUNG	16,752	16,280	20,184	19,046	21,322	20,955	23,316	27,663	29,642	28,571	32,4
DUNDAS	29,439	34,528	35.282	39,773	39,943	35,408	39,644	42,651	757,18	216,13	56,392
EAST FREMANTLE	332,549	518,504	539,541	559,320	588,102	606,412	643.424	758.692	829,999	867,525	930.003
		The second secon		The state of the s	- CONTRACTOR - CON	The state of the s	VICE-12-10-20-0	Annual Contract of the Contrac			



YELLOW Danoles local governments that:			Add	Administer ESL under the "Option B - 1's arrangement, requiring that they pay DFES the full amount of ESL invoiced h 4 quarterly instalments in [30x 9x 2]. September, 30x 9x 1 when the ord 10x 9x 1 Junel in ESL Within adulationals are equal to be declared on an Annexine A by 31 July and are adjusted to next instalment.	SSL under the "Option B1' arrangement, requiring that they pay DEES the full amount of ESL invoiced in 4 quantedry [100% by 21 September, 30% by 21 December, 30% by 21 March and 10% by 21 June] ESL billing addistments are equited to be de-Stand on an Annexure A by 31 July and are addissed in text assistment	tuhing that they pay DFES by 21 December, 30% by 3 eclared on an Annexare A	the full amount of ESL invo 21 March and 10% by 21 Ju by 31 July and are adjusted	iced in 4 quartedy instains ine] I in next instalment]	ants •		
GREEN Denotes local governments that:-			V.	Iminister ESL under the 'O,	Administer ESL under the 'Option B - 2' arrangement, requiring that they by DFES the full amount of ESL invoced in one shajle instalment - [100% by 21 September] [ESL billing adjustments are required to be declared on an Annexare A by 31 July and are are adjusted in rexit instalment]	quitig that they pay DFES (100% by 21 September) eclared on an Annexare A	the full amount of ESL inverses by 31 July and are adjusted	oced in one single lastalme I in next instalment)	ent.		
LOCAL GOVERNMENT	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Acjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL, Billing	Final Doclared Adjusted ESL, Billing	Final Dectared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing # Yet to bo finalised]	ESL Billing Initial Declaration (# Yot to be finalised)
	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2057/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
Ехмоитн	48,340	56,291	57.461	71,151	87,238	73,652	83,248	157,247	137,048	145,317	145,727
FREMANTLE	1,806,371	3,018,491	3,312,946	3,479,438	3,694,719	3,749,340	4,021,536	4,788,033	5,042,210	5,339,912	5,757,371
GINGIN	150,368	986'851	179,512			235,071		288,154	300,566	315,190	329,221
GNOWANGERUP	25,954	26,766	506,15	30,940	34,935	35,600	38,227	44,450	49,335	51,015	53,340
GOOMALLING	21,144	21,154	25,740			28,758	29.936	35,250	39,105	42,351	45,120
GOSNELLS	2,519,288	22/2012/2012	4,947,000	5,309,676	5,742,352	5,555,415	6,450,215	7,762,686	885'869'8	9,267,940	9,990,300
GREATER GERALDTON	883,519	<b>1</b>	1,389,534	1,466,032	1,	1,931,265	2,084,748	2,492,323	2,067,946	2,610,880	2,774,818
HALLS CREEK	20,753		24,790			24,878	27,100	31,764	45,863	47,937	50,428
HARVEY	293,604		366,872			490,812	532,743	283'629	689,199	721,011	768,616
RWIN	68,413	75,918	83,100	89,317	124,524	116,952	125,121	146,526	156,549	163,623	170,967
JERRAMUNGUP	29,569	31,209	36,460	37,695	41,990	45,760	47,477	57,641	63,778	65,796	72,060
JOONDALUP	5,526,752		0.388,936	9,697,014	10,267,713	11,185,481	11,840,781	3,949,602	14,215,277	14,937,277	16,145,766
KALAMUNDA	1,240,115		2,201,337	2,347,118		2,610,712	2,881,070	3,426,927	4,217,997	4,774,168	4,856,413
KATANANG	021.27	008,004,1	N. 68,510	1,542,010 87 185	63.500	02.131	111 560	129 583	130 467	135 354	142 719
KELLERBERRIN	23,953	23,419	24,936	28.218		31,920	34,830	40,500	45,650	18,051	51,501
KENT	16,148			060,71		19,170	20,843	24,250	27,226	26,774	28,440
KOJONUP	37,044		44,696	43,891	47,394	48,457	01,710	61,677	66,205	68,583	72,556
KONDININ	21,690	21,750	25,095	26,215	29,211	28,560	982'00	35,650	38,885	40,470	42,600
KOORDA	12,104	12,062	14,038	618,61		15,152	16,247	18,990	21,333	22,277	23,400
KULIN	16,059	16,119	18,992	19.453		22,414	26.888	28,402	32,781	33,181	35,161
KWINANA	020,202	94C,17d	11,717	1,492,116	1,017,324	290'r69'l	979'000'1	116,576,3	2,121,2	4 300 3'500'5	3,233,166
LANEBTON	35,571	***************************************	30,430	90 508	6.00 Charles Charles Co. 10 Charles Charles Charles Charles Co. 10 Charles Cha	23.512	707.70	36.376	37.420	9 L.	39.500
LEONORA	26.890	ADDRESS CONTRACTOR	27.00 CH 100 CH	58.833		52879	72.817	91,609	96.644	766.76	104.107
MANDURAH	1,677,490	2,567,132		ě	3	3,267,850	3,487,151	5,679,439	4,890,569	5,083,920	6,097,806
MANJIMUP	188,934	198,481			249,123	245,465	261,171	315,444	335,182	348,728	367,533
MEEKATHARRA	26,169	27,154	30,346	29,812	32,604	33,371	35,156	37,838	40,716	43,051 #	47,562
MELVILE	4,289,500	6,814,762	7,127,793	7,512,964	7,933,802	11/1161/	816,011,8	105'813'201	10,273,266	211'219'01	11,358,732
MENZIES	13,623	20,582		14,588	16,159	29982	30,068	34,300	37,125	38,076	40,200
MERREDIN	63,979	66,607	77,535			89,552	95,824	115,003	119,328	123,624	132,338
MINGENEW	11,293	11,400	Service teperateur			15,120	15,832	18,700	19,752	21,546	22,380
MOORA	45,363	48,562		55,803		63,832	68,587	80,420	84,069	86,772	95,604
MORAWA	18,635	18,203	20,758	20,976		23,335	25,506	30,475	32,732	35,497	37,433
MOSMAN PARK	435,584	611,522	651,177	658,573		726,635	765,978	196,167	970,327	1,009,958	1,083,154
MOUNT MAGNET	18,014	20,653	21,424	21,677		616'61	21,518	28,690	29,730	30,624	33,098
MOUNT MARSHALL	717,21	15,390	17,815	17.015		19,200	20,807	24,200	26,840	28,044	29,520
MUKINBUDIN	12,958	13,060	15,470	15,136		17,595	18,516	22,250	24,145	25,308	26,460
MULLEWA (Closed alter 2010/11)	21,187	24,418	24,988	24,431	26,861	27,597	29,990	31,237			
MUNDARING	776,553	1,155,915	1,352,646	1,392,224	1.474.273	1,549,194	1,654,707	1,956,954	2,068,357	2,174,015	2,338,094
MURCHISON	750		1,295	1,365	262,F	7,440	1,548	1,693	1,325	366'00'	745 003
MURRAY	197,109	30 100	140,862		011,005 011,005	013,816 87.78	367.78	55 455 AP	400,455 61 134	727.53	101,865 827.73
NASEMBEEN	18321	19.383	19,845		25.592	56.240	28.360	32,600	35,310	606'HE	39,660
NARROGIN (SHIRE)	14,187	14,504	16,589	16,857	18,857	20,427	22,341	26,530	29,173	30,947	32,896
(1											



YELLOW Denotes total governments that:-			Admishare ESL urder the "Option B 1' amangement, requing that they pay DFES the full amount of ESL, threeced in 4 quant (2014) and 1914 of	AdmistarrESL under the 'Optkon B - if armagement, requiring that they pay DFES the full amount of ESL throlood in 4 quantedy instalments. AdmistarrESL under the 'Optkon B - if armagement, reducing they for their and view by 5 by 21 under the CPUS by 21 under they be yet all under the option of the control of the control of the option of	SL unber the Option B I' amangement, requireg that they pay DFES the fall amount of ESL, throized in 4 quanterly. (1905 by 1) Splanner, 20 yet 1) Splanner, 20 yet 1) December 305 by 21 kills and 1904 by 31 kills and 1904 by 10 kills and 1904 by 31 kills and 1904 by 10 kills and	quing that they pay DFES by 21 December, 30% by a cclared on an Amerare A l	the full amount of ESL, kno 21 March and 10% by 21 Jr by 31 July and are adjusted	ced in 4 quanterly instalmer ing in next instalment)	- su		
GREEN Denotes local governments that-			4	Admittator ESL under the 'Option B - 2 arrangement, requiring that they pay DFES the full amount of ESL invaiced in one shop's britainent. [100% by 21 Septembol] [ESL balloy adjustments are nequired to be declared on an Amenura A by 31 July and are adjusted in next instalment)	ESL inder the 'Option B - 2' amangement, requiring that they pay DFES he full amount of ESL invoiced in one shylo (100% by 21 September) [ESL balley adjustments are required to be declared on an Amerane A by 31 July and are adjusted in next instalment	rquiring that they pay DFES (100% by 21 Septembor) eckered on an Armanana A	the full amount of ESL inv by 31 July and are adjusted	Xccd in one shqle instalme In next instalment]	- yu		
LOCAL GOVERNMENT	Final Declared Adjusted ESL, Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL, Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing	Final Declared Adjusted ESL Billing (# Yet to be finalised)	ESL Billing initial Declaration (# Yet to be finalsed)
	2003/04	2004/05	2005/05	2005/07	2007/08	\$048/05	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
NEDLANDS	1,148,327	1,606.402	1,763,548	1.783,817	1,839,668	1,962,498	2,062,276	2,458,517	2,692,112	2,814,386	3,015,959
NGAANYATJARRAKU	120		140	140	162	091	211	200		228	240
RORTHAM	218,359	246,179	215,902	217,472	255,572	259.577	282,638	330,227	357,779	381,029	403,092
MINAMETON	201.30	6.020	6889	7 170	7 432	8.477	9.153	10.890		11.913	12.300
PEPPERMINT GROVE	116,605		159,497	160,506	169,631	385,771		216,722		256,074	273,565
PERENJORE	13,049		13,197	15,610	16,662	069'21	19,350	21,700	25,080	25,821	27,180
РЕКТН	6,054,793	9,591,151	10,412,034	10 730,983	11,286,408	11,429,541	12,560,856	15,680,385	19,	20,336,203	21,903,794
ATTESNER	24,574	24 977	27,208	27,413	30,300	32,730	38,146	42,671	46.216	47,992	175,05
PLANTAGENET	100,79		107,646	118,696	PLS'121	066,821		173,428		519,187	526,805
PORTHEDLAND	307,549		392,069	391,074	421,509	946'546		784,472		1,000,000	1,155,332
QUARADING	23,664	23,974	27.774	28,262	32,289	33,468		41 600	***************************************	47,813	50,205
RAVENSTHORPE	37,332	38.361	47,086	50,889	690,29	68,447	14,609	88,113		103,167	109,729
ROCKINGHAM	2,309,993		4,222,769	4.495,005	4.902.152	5,052,001	5,409,311	6,499,025	6,762.785	7,188,516	7,793,143
ROEBOURNE	338,468	339,383	546,755	5/2,708	618,485	82CH2S	844,352	986'0/0'1	02.000,1	1.648,105	1,184,141
STATISTICS OF ST	305.810		989868	217 215	950 590	ELG TOP	435.104	622 643		691.573	762.533
SHARK BAY	20,946		24,997	26,118	28,013	32,408	35,198	41,181	41,014	43,616	45,845
<b>SOUTH PERTH</b>	1,895,625	2	8,359,669	3,482,643	3,667,913	3,763,778	3,989,322	4,695,805	4,765,201	5,029,938	5,420,894
STIRLING	7,918,612	13,011,126	13,872,114	14,401,082	15,355,426	16,330,827	17,240,585	20,544,766		24.270,038	26,216,017
SUBMCO	1,212,125	***************************************	2,236,064	2,348,390	2,497,044	5,505,529	2,769,539	3,381,518		3,810,544	4,101,622
SWAN	2,650,960	4	5,067,130	5,447,489	5,885,480	6,761,631	7,265,853	8,758,180	11,127,721	12.054,467	13,045,125
TAMBELLUP (Closed after 2007/08)	11,769	B/9 11	13,887	13,912	15,148	010 01	2000	900.01	103 81	002 03	476.00
TANAMIN TOTAL COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	93.504	POC 0	15,015	CLD'O	000,11	17.620		000000		150'50	092'96
TOODYAY	B4,193	17478	101,584	103,658	112,700	165,515		150,951		170,618	181,227
TRAYMING	11,753		13,160	12,675	13,446	13,680	15,394	17,850		# 50'532 #	21,360
UPPER GASCOYNE	01,710	2,040	1,996	1,995	2,128	2,360	2,580	3,250	3.625	3,648	4,380
VICTORIA PARK	1,472,325	2,417,423	2,697,593	2,724,442	2,982,064	3,142,352	3.	3,951,405	4,	4,435,255	4,759,184
VICTORIA PLARIS	(6,653		18,993	19,272	21,014	22,600		26,850		31,977	096'66
VINCENT	1,423,258	2,380,636	2,618,038	2 726,007	3,022,868	3,176,664	3,389,297	4,116,088	3,996,962	4,225,423	4,562,750
WEST	39,130		11,404	55.01	13.269	15,400		036.91		24.092	23,340
WANNERDO	2861392	4.986.097	5.945.878	6.597.854	7.598.045	9,193,378	10 081,179	12,283,231	13,	14,449,706	15,775,921
WARDONA	70,196	325 CO 425 CO 425	83,933	84,876	000'56	96,344	124,507	128/21	155,483	162,976	172,638
WEST ARTHUR	17,980	17,205	20,510	20,958	23,220	24,720	26,445	30,700	33,660	35,112	36,960
WESTONIA	7,110		8,470	8,784	8,642	10,289	11,610	13,400		15,330	15,180
WCKEPIN	14,305	14,376	16,440	16,557	18,393	20,062		26,500		29,697	31,360
WILLTAMS	11,161		23,082	22,587	24,751	25,548		34,260	10	38,368	40,744
WLUNA	10,628		12,648	12,450	13,321	15,278		001'81		20,748	21,900
WONGAN-BALLIDU	26,667		34,138	34,360	40,608	41,111		49,393		57,601	62,083
WOODAWELING	9,180	090 6	118,11	79/21	13,528	261,41	15,311	17,600	19.979	20,636	21,840
WYALKAICHEM WANDHALERST WHIDEDLEY	117.061	116 911	193,449	007631	774 181	157 144	0,00,01	272 517		282,759	301.460
YALGOO	060'2	7 406	7,476	7,864	7,434	7,929	1,55.8	10,157		12,139	12,960
YEGARM	43,243	43,536	49,863	47,625	51,313	55,227	60,138	71,409		78,066	82,937
YORK	70,793			505'78	164,102	102,634	110,011	132,309		157,021	192,767
TOTALS	73,655,881	116,164,985	126,769,916	134,856,672	145,422,709	151,898,783	164,916,036	200,069,108	215,416,177	230 575 606	910 898 676



# 4.2 Implications of Structural Reform (05-034-01-0103 TB)

Shire of Dardanup:

**Moved: Cr P Robinson (Dardanup)** 

Seconded: President Cr M Bennett (Dardanup)

That WALGA facilitate a meeting between country Local Government Elected Members with Professor Brian Dollery making a presentation on the implications of structural reform, by December 2014.

#### **AMENDMENT**

Moved: Cr P Robinson (Dardanup)

Seconded: President Cr M Bennett (Dardanup)

# IN BRIEF

- Reform of Country Local Governments may follow the current metropolitan reform review.
- Shire of Dardanup recommends that WALGA facilitate a meeting between country Local Government Elected Members with Professor Brian Dollery making a presentation on the implications of structural reform, by December 2014.

# That WALGA;

- 1. Facilitate a meeting between country Local Government Elected Members with Professor Brian Dollery making a presentation on the implications of structural reform, by December 2014.
- 2. Supports the position that, if WALGA is to facilitate further presentations on Local Government Reform, then more than 1 presenter should be invited to ensure that a range of views and opinions are given, and that balanced and objective deliberation and debate can be undertaken.

The Amendment was put and CARRIED

The Amendment became the Motion and was put and CARRIED

# MEMBER COMMENT

The current Minister for Local Government the Hon Tony Simpson embarked upon the reform of Local Government boundaries in the Perth metropolitan area in 2013 by submitting proposals to the Local Government Advisory Board. Local Government also submitted their proposals, each have been assessed by the LGAB.

It is expected that once the process has been completed in the metropolitan area the Minister will turn his attention to regional Western Australia, starting with regional centres and moving outward to all rural Local Governments.

During the metropolitan reform there has been a lot of debate about the benefits of reform and the implications to Local Governments and their communities in terms of the cost associated with the reform, the delivery of services and impacts on rates.



For over twenty years there have been boundary changes across Local Government in all states of Australia with the exception of WA. Even with the widespread reform experience there appears to be little evidence of reduced cost to the ratepayer, only increase costs, and in some cases in Queensland, the push to reverse amalgamations.

Since reform in Western Australia was officially announced in February 2009 there has been little or no effort by government to present a case for convincing the community that less Local Governments equates to better and more cost effective Local Government.

Research shows that there is a lot of literature on the negatives relating to amalgamating Local Governments, but very little highlighting the benefits.

It is acknowledged by WA Local Government Association that reform is likely to be pushed out to the rural Local Governments, this is evidenced in that has initiated the Country Reform Policy Forum, set up to allow Local Governments in the country areas to discuss and develop an understanding of the impacts of reform on Local Government and to explore options and opportunities that may influence government on what reform might look like.

The Shire of Dardanup and many Local Governments are aware of the work done by Professor Brian Dollery, Graduate School of Business, University of New England.

Professor Dollery has many research papers and published on Local Government and seems to be the obvious person to invite to the west to do a presentation and participate in a debate about Local Government reform and the options that may be alternatives to boundary changes.

The Shire of Dardanup recommends that WALGA facilitate a meeting between country Local Government councillors with Professor Dollery making a presentation on the implications of structural reform, by December 2014.

Some of Professor Dollery's work include:-

To date, Brian has published 357 refereed journal articles, 35 book chapters and 15 books. Recent books include Councils in Cooperation: Shares Services and Australian Local Government (2012), Local Government Reform: A Comparative Analysis of Advanced Anglo-American Countries (2008), The Theory and Practice of Local Government Reform (2008), Reform and Leadership in the Public Sector (2007) and Australian Local Government Economics (2006). Over the past two decades, Brian has worked with Local Government across all Australian states, largely in the area of structural change, financial sustainability and urban water problems. Brian is presently working on a book on Local Government finance and he is involved in the debate on structural reform in Tasmanian Local Government.

# **SECRETARIAT COMMENT**

The Association is preparing for the possibility of Non Metropolitan structural reform through the formation of the Country Reform Policy Forum. The Policy Forum has been established to undertake research into appropriate governance models for country Local Governments. There is no pre-determined outcome and all models are being reviewed.

Since the formation of the Systemic Sustainability Study (SSS) process, the Association has convened a number of forums and meetings where eminent structural reform experts have been showcased including Professor Dollery.



# 4.3 Bushfire Management – Support Vehicles (05-024-03-0010 AH)

Shire of Bridgetown-Greenbushes:

# RESOLUTION

Moved: President Cr A Pratico (Bridgetown-

Greenbushes)

Seconded: Cr J Boyle (Bridgetown-Greenbushes)

That WALGA lobby the Minister for Emergency Services seeking the inclusion of fire support vehicles as eligible items for capital and operational funding under the Emergency Services Levy.

**CARRIED** 

# IN BRIEF

- The activities of a fire support brigade or fire support personnel within a combatant brigade are "normal brigade activities" as prescribed under the Bush Fires Act.
- Despite fire support activities being in compliance with the Bush Fires Act, DFES has made a determination (interpretation) that capital and operational funding of fire support vehicles is not eligible under the ESL.
- The Minister for Emergency Services has legislative responsibility for issuing of guidelines for the collection and distribution of ESL funds.

#### **MEMBER COMMENT**

A number of Local Governments (including the Shire of Bridgetown-Greenbushes) provide "Fire Support" vehicles for use by their Bush Fire Brigades. Such vehicles are very versatile, and perform a range of functions from incident control, sector and divisional command, to logistics and crew support at incidents. The benefit of having support vehicles is that it frees up dedicated fire appliances to carry out the task they are designed for - which is fire suppression. Current practice for Local Governments that do not provide Fire Support Vehicles is that either a Fire Fighting Appliance or a private vehicle is used for these roles.

The current position of the Department of Fire and Emergency Services (DFES) is that both capital and operational funding of these vehicles is ineligible under the Emergency Services Levy (ESL) Local Government Grants Scheme. As the Minister for Emergency Services is responsible for approving the LGGS manual and criterion, it is recommended that WALGA lobby the Minister for inclusion in the ESL grants system.

There are numerous fire support vehicles in the Western Australian Local Government brigade structures, either via a dedicated support brigade or as a component of a combatant brigade.

Fire support vehicles are used to assist combatant brigades involved in fire suppression activities with incident management, logistical and welfare support. This is in line with the expectations of DFES that Local Governments improve their local Incident Management capacity.

The rise of dedicated support brigades or provision of support services in a combatant brigade has a twofold effect within volunteer ranks. Firstly, it allows fire fighters to remain at the end of the hose and fighting the fire, rather than being taken off the fire ground to undertake non-combatant roles. Secondly it allows for people who want to assist during an emergency, but can't be a front line fire fighter, to utilise skills that they have, such as communications operators, scribes, plotters and aircraft controllers, to assist in the Incident Management Team.



The activities of a support brigade or support services within a combatant brigade are "normal brigade activities" as prescribed under section 35A of that Act:

# 41. Bush fire brigades

(1) For the purpose of carrying out normal brigade activities a Local Government may, in accordance with its local laws made for the purpose, establish and maintain one or more bush fire brigades and may, in accordance with those local laws, equip each bush fire brigade so established with appliances, equipment and apparatus.

#### 35A. Terms used

**Normal brigade activities** means the following activities when carried out by a volunteer fire fighter —

- (a) the prevention, control or extinguishment of bush fires;
- (b) any act or operation at or about the scene of a bush fire, or in connection with a bush fire, which is necessary for, directed towards, or incidental to, the control or suppression of the fire or the prevention of spread of the fire, or in any other way necessarily associated with the fire including travelling and support services such as meals and communication systems;

Whilst personnel in fire support vehicles don't carry out a combatant role during incidents, the operation of these vehicles is in compliance with the *Bush Fires Act 1954* and should therefore their capital and operating costs should be covered under ESL funding.

DFES should properly recognise and appropriately resource and fund support services where they have been established under a needs analysis to ensure support to front line firefighting crews is given and ultimately the protection of the community is increased though a more organised fire fighting response.

The purpose of the ESL is to fund the State's fire and emergency capability. Support services are an integral component of emergency capability.

Under Section 36Y of the *Fire and Emergency Services Act* the Minister for Emergency Services can issue guidelines for the collection and distribution of ESL funds, hence the essence of the Motion is to lobby the Minister to seek a change to the current interpretation by DFES that funding of fire support vehicle capital and operational costs is not eligible expenditure under the ESL.

# **SECRETARIAT COMMENT**

The Department of Fire and Emergency Services (DFES) is intending to repeal the Fire Brigades Act 1942, the Bush Fires Act 1954, and the Fire and Emergency Services Act 1998 and develop a single Emergency Services Act to better deliver emergency services to Western Australians. The new legislation proposes to introduce a focus on shared responsibility for risk prevention, while also coordinating emergency preparedness and response delivery across government agencies, Local Government, volunteers and private landowners. The new legislation will include increased local government responsibility for risk management on its own land, as well as a stronger compliance role for risk mitigation on private land.

Consideration of funding support for such vehicles and equipment is likely to become more pressing in the next couple of years as the need for additional support vehicles will be increased when the State introduces the Emergency Services Act and policy requiring Local Governments to prepare tenure blind bushfire risk management plans (BRMP) for all lands within their district.



The Office of Bushfire Risk Management is currently piloting BRMP's with four Shires (Augusta Margaret River, Collie, Nannup and Boyup Brook) The project is expected to be completed by the end of 2014. The intention is for Local Government's with identified bushfire prone areas to have a BRMP in place. It is reasonable to expect Local Governments identified within bushfire prone areas will need the equipment and resources to undertake additional risk assessments, compliance inspections and mitigation works

The Association is currently advocating for a broad scale review and expansion of the Emergency Services Levy to provide the additional funding needed for the additional planning and mitigation works.

In the short term however, as the Minister can currently issue guidelines for the collection and distribution of ESL funds, it would be prudent to pursue appropriate changes to ensure that support vehicles, particularly in high risk areas, are eligible under the Emergency Services Levy (ESL) Local Government Grants Scheme.



# 4.4 Contaminated Sites – Auditing Requirements (05-020-01-0001 MB)

City of Bunbury:

Moved: Cr B Kelly (Bunbury)

Seconded: Cr N McNeill (Bunbury)

#### That WALGA:

 The WA Contaminated Sites Act 2003 (the Act), was introduced by the State Government in 2007, in order to minimise the risk posed by contaminated sites to public and environmental health

IN BRIEF

- a) Requests the State Government to transfer the responsibility for auditing of contaminated sites reports to the Department of Environment Regulation as either a statutory requirement or on a fee for service basis; and
- b) Investigates and implements measures to reduce the cost of resolving contaminated site issues on Local Government (e.g. discounted consultant fees under WALGA preferred supplier panel contracts)."

# **AMENDMENT**

Moved: Cr D Lee (Mandurah)

Seconded: Mayor M Vergone (Mandurah)

c) Calls on State Government to contribute funding for management and remediation of contaminated sites where appropriate.

The Amendment was put and **LOST** 

The original Motion was put and CARRIED

# MEMBER COMMENT

The WA Contaminated Sites Act 2003 (the Act), was introduced by the State Government in 2007, in order to minimise the risk posed by contaminated sites to public and environmental health.

The Act requires responsible parties to report known and suspected contaminated sites to the Department of Environment Regulation, which in turn assigns each site a particular classification depending upon the risks involved and level of investigation and management required.

Both the investigation and management works are typically highly technical in nature, expensive and time consuming, and require the engagement of consultants. For example, the investigations associated with a moderately complex contaminated site may cost in excess of \$100,000, and require between six (6) and twelve months to complete. Management works, where required, can be considerably more expensive and time consuming.



In certain circumstances, particularly where contamination issues are complex, proponents may be required to appoint a private consultant contaminated sites auditor to review investigations and management works, prior to the information being supplied to the Department of Environment Regulation. Contaminated sites auditors are not employees of the department, but rather external consultants. In this manner, proponents at times need to appoint one consultant to undertake the investigations and management works, and a second consultant to review the work of the first consultant.

The appointment of contaminated sites auditors can be expensive with auditors, as private consultants, having an hourly rate in the order of \$300 per hour. It is understood that the resolution of a simple land fill site may attract an auditor fee in excess of \$50,000.

The current approach involving the use of contaminated sites auditors may also introduce inefficiencies to the State Government process as the work of an auditor, being the review of the first consultants work, must in turn be reviewed by the Department of Environment Regulation in determining whether the relevant contamination issue has been sufficiently resolved.

Arguably, it would be less financially burdensome on proponents and more efficient, if the auditing review of contaminated site investigations and management works were to be completed by the Department of Environment Regulation, rather than consultants.

The contaminated sites auditor fees associated with the resolution of the contamination issues may result in a combined cost to Council in the order of hundreds of thousands of dollars.

If endorsed, the recommendation may achieve a change in the State Government's contaminated site process and cost savings for Council.

It is anticipated that various stakeholders may have concerns with the proposal as it represents a fundamental shift in the State Governments approach.

#### SECRETARIAT COMMENT

The State Government commenced a review of the Contaminated Sites Act in October 2012. The review has been through two rounds of public comment, and the Association developed submissions for each round. Table 1 outlines the policy review process to date.

Table 1: Review schedule of Contaminated Sites Act 2007

Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5	Stage 6	Stage 7
document	public consultation (12 weeks)	analyse public comments		consultation (12 weeks)	Review, analyse public comments	Forward review report (recommending changes) to Minister
Jun 12	Jun - Sep 12	Oct 12 - Oct13	Nov 2013	Nov - Feb 14	Mar - Jun 14	July 14
Complete	Complete	Complete	Complete	Complete	Current	



The Association's advocacy messages delivered through the review process have been:

- All contaminated sites data should be publically available, free of charge;
- The State Government should recognise the legacy issues of many Local Government contaminated sites, and provide financial assistance for the investigation and remediation of these;
- Local Government officers should not be required to report suspected or known contamination;
- An additional site classification, "contaminated investigation required" should be added;
- A three month time frame for information provision to the Contaminated Sites Committee should be imposed, but extensions made possible;
- The State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) should be responsible for reviewing both DER and Contaminated Sites Committee decisions, to increase administrative transparency.

Local Government were invited to provide input into both of the Association's submissions. During the first consultation round, seven Local Governments provided comment. During the second consultation round no comments were received from the sector. Legislation review is the most effective time to influence changes to government policy and legislation. The Association will consider all resolutions passed at the AGM, but notes that the State Government may be unwilling to consider the members' proposed amendments. This is due to the current stage of State Government's review, and the current financial constraints on the State Government budget.

The Association therefore proposes to advocate to the Office of the Auditor General to undertake an economic analysis of the core theme of the motion, to examine the effectiveness and efficiencies that could be achieved through the Department of Environment Regulation undertaking the contaminated site audit requirements, as defined in the Act.



# 4.5 Review of Section 6.28 of the Local Government Act 1995 - Valuation of Land (05-034-01-0007 JMc)

City of Bunbury:

# **RESOLUTION**

Moved: Cr B Kelly (Bunbury) Seconded: Cr N McNeill (Bunbury)

That WALGA requests the Minister for Local Government to review Section 6.28 of the Local Government Act that limits the methods of valuation of land to Gross Rental Value or Unimproved Value, and explores other alternatives.

#### IN BRIEF

- Section 6.28 of the Local Government Act 1995 limits the basis of rating to Gross Rental Valuation and Unimproved Value.
- This motion proposes an expansion of land valuation options for the basis of rating.

#### **CARRIED**

#### MEMBER COMMENT

The method of valuation of land to be used as the basis of rating in Western Australia is either: Gross Rental Value for predominantly non-rural purpose; or unimproved value of land for rural purposes. These are the only two methods available under the Section 6.28 of the Local Government Act in Western Australia.

Eastern State Local Governments can elect to rate on one of the following options:

- Site Value levy on the unimproved value of land only and disregards the value of buildings, personal property and other improvements.
- Capital Value value of the land including improvements
- Annual Value rental value of a property (same as GRV).

It is suggested that a review of the options for the valuation of land for the basis of rating be conducted.

### SECRETARIAT COMMENT

Alternative land valuation methods came under the scope of the WALGA Systemic Sustainability Study, particularly Capital Improved Valuations which is in operation in Victoria and South Australia.

Whilst the SSS did not land on a particular recommendation for action, it may be opportune to commence a discussion on the inherent advantages and disadvantages of the present and alternative land valuation methods, given the nexus to the Valuation of Land Act 1978 and its review anticipated in 2015/2016.

Minutes - Annual General Meeting 2014



# 4.6A MATTER OF SPECIAL URGENT BUSINESS: Opposition to Commission of Audit Recommendations

Shire of Morawa:

Absolute Majority required

# **RESOLUTION**

**Moved: President Cr K Chappel (Morawa)** 

Seconded: President Cr J Collingwood (Chapman Valley)

That the Members agree that the following item of Special Urgent Business, relating to Opposition to Commission of Audit Recommendations be considered.

**CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY** 



# 4.6B MATTER OF SPECIAL URGENT BUSINESS: Opposition to Commission of Audit Recommendations

Shire of Morawa:

# **RESOLUTION**

Moved: President Cr K Chappel (Morawa)
Seconded: President Cr J Collingwood (Chapman Valley)

That the WA Local Government Association engage with the Federal Minister for Infrastructure and Regional Development; all Federal Members of Parliament from Western Australia; and the Australian Local Government Association to lobby against any proposed removal or amendment of Commonwealth funding to Local Government by way of Financial Assistance Grants (FAG's) and the Roads to Recovery Program (R2R).

# **IN BRIEF**

 Opposition to Federal Government Commission of Audit Recommendations relating to any proposed removal or amendment of Assistance Grants and the Roads to Recovery Program.

# **CARRIED**

#### **BACKGROUND**

It is with great concern that the member Councils of the Northern Country Zone of WALGA have noted that The Report of the National Commission of Audit has recommended the removal of Commonwealth funding to Local Government by withdrawing Financial Assistance Grants (FAG's) and the Roads to Recovery Program (R2R).

A pre Federal Government Budget report prepared by the Australian Local Government Association (ALGA) stated:

"Commonwealth funding for local councils would end under the recommendations of the Commission of Audit Report released on Thursday 1 May.

The Federal Budget, to be handed down in 12 days' time is expected to pick up some of the 86 recommendations, with others to be adopted in coming months.

The recommendations seek to return the Budget to surplus through substantial savings and would also see the Commonwealth Government give up \$25 billion of income tax revenue and end its involvement in an enormous range of areas and pass responsibility to the states.

Of major concern to ALGA are the recommendations in Volume 2 (recommendations 5 and 22) that the Commonwealth end its funding for local government including the Financial Assistance Grants (FAGs) program and the Roads to Recovery (R2R) program.

The Report also recommends an end to Regional Development Australia Committees and that the Commonwealth leaves regional development issues to the state and local government.

The Federal Government provides a total of \$2.2 billion per annum to councils across the country through the FAGs program. It is the Government's cornerstone program for local communities. The end of the program, which was put in place almost 40 years ago, would have a catastrophic impact on the level of local infrastructure and services in every local community.



The Audit Commission has proposed that the FAGs would no longer be necessary as sufficient revenue would be available to state governments which could then determine whether any funds are passed on to councils. Given the priorities which state governments face in areas such as health, education and public transport, individual councils may well find it challenging in securing sufficient funds to meet local infrastructure and service needs.

The end of the R2R program, which both major parties had promised to extend to 30 June 2019, would strip \$350 million a year in road funding from local communities with potentially devastating consequences for productivity, road safety and general access, particularly in regional communities. The promised Bridges Renewal Program is also at risk under the Report's recommendations."

#### **MEMBER COMMENT:**

Financial Assistance Grants remain the funding lifeblood for rural and remote Australia and are applied towards the provision of a wide range of essential services. This funding is significant in assisting Councils to provide an appropriate level of services and in alleviating the disadvantages experienced by communities located a long way away from major cities and regional centres. Many Councils do not have a sufficient rating base to fund these services. The methodology of distributing FAG's has always been transparent and removed from any kind of political bias or manipulation. It is essential that FAG's continue to be directly allocated through the Commonwealth Government into the future.

R2R funding is a stringently managed program that ensures Australia's local government road network is upgraded to standards able to cope with the nation's road transport requirements. This was a major initiative of the Howard Government and has been structured to ensure that a high level of accountability and value for money in the application of funds prevails. Given the increasing demand for an improved road network, particularly in the vast agricultural and mining regions of Australia, it is vital that this source of funding continues to be delivered directly to local governments by the Commonwealth Government.

If FAG's and R2R funding are replaced with compensatory funding provided to the State Government for discretionary distribution to local governments, the financial independence and autonomy of local government would be severely threatened. It is almost certain that States would significantly redirect and/or or severely diminish the amount of funding previously provided directly to local government by the Commonwealth. This would result in massive cost shifting to ratepayers to support the current level of services provided by their respective Councils and the almost certain demise of many local governments in the rural and remote areas throughout Australia.

# SECRETARIAT COMMENT

The motion is consistent with the Association's strategic objectives



# 4.7A MATTER OF SPECIAL URGENT BUSINESS: Local Government Reform - Dadour Poll Provisions

City of Canning:

Absolute Majority required

# **RESOLUTION**

Moved: Mayor R Norris (Mosman Park)

Seconded: Cr F Reid (Stirling)

That the Members agree that the following item of Special Urgent Business, relating to Local Government Reform - Dadour Poll Provisions be considered.

**CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY** 



# 4.7B MATTER OF SPECIAL URGENT BUSINESS: Local Government Reform - Dadour Poll Provisions

City of Canning:

# **MOTION**

Moved: Commissioner L Reynolds (Canning)
Seconded: President Cr S Bilich (Kalamunda)

That this Annual General Meeting, recognising the current approach by the State Government to the manipulation of the principles of the 'Dadour' poll provisions;

(a) endorse WALGA's position of providing community access to the poll provisions where 1 or more districts are to be abolished rather than the 2 or more districts as currently provided for in the *Local Government Act 1995*;

## **IN BRIEF**

- WALGA's policy position to strengthen the poll provisions is supported.
- If the poll provisions are to be triggered for significant boundary adjustments, then the criteria and associated percentages need more discussion.
- WALGA to adopt a policy position opposing the temporary suspension or dilution of the poll provisions.
- (b) endorse WALGA's proposed extension of the poll provisions to significant boundary adjustments subject to any associated criteria and any percentages being agreed to by a majority of all local governments in Western Australia, and
- (c) reaffirm as policy, that WALGA is opposed to the removal or dilution of the 'Dadour' poll provisions including the temporary dilution or removal of those provisions.

PROCEDURAL MOTION

Moved: Cr B Kelly (Bunbury) Seconded: Cr E Re (Stirling)

That the meeting suspend Standing Order 13.2 to allow delegates to speak for more than 3 minutes.

**CARRIED** 

M: Cr B Kelly (Bunbury) S: Cr E Re (Stirling)

That the meeting resume Standing Order 13.2

CARRIED

The Motion was put and <u>CARRIED</u>



#### MEMBER COMMENT

At the meeting of State Council held on 2 July 2014 it was resolved that WALGA;

- 1. Reaffirm its policy position opposing forced amalgamations and supporting voluntary reform; and
- 2. Adopt, and advocate for, a policy position that the poll provisions contained in the Local Government Act 1995 should be amended so that electors of a Local Government where 1 or more Local Governments will be abolished or significantly affected by a boundary change proposal are able to demand a poll on the proposal, with 'significantly affected' being specifically defined as causing a fifty percent variation in:
  - i. Population; or,
  - ii. Rateable properties; or,
  - iii. Revenue.

The general thrust of WALGA's adopted policy positions is supported by the motion currently before the AGM.

# Artificial Boundary Adjustments

Schedule 2.1 of the Local Government Act 1995 currently reads as follows:-

# 8. Electors may demand poll on a recommended amalgamation

(1) Where the Advisory Board recommends to the Minister the making of an order to abolish 2 or more districts (the *districts*) and amalgamate them into one or more districts, the Board is to give notice to affected local governments, affected electors and the other electors of districts directly affected by the recommendation about the recommendation.

As we all know, the Minister is avoiding any potential triggering of the poll provisions by proposing 'boundary adjustments' for paired local governments that have the effect of abolishing only 1 district, rather than the 2 districts (or more) that the Act currently provides for.

In defence of this back-door course of action, the Minister has argued that it would keep elected members in place during the transition period and allow for a smoother transition. "At least one of the councils would continue and there would be no need for new bank accounts, ABN numbers or GST registration".

Apparently the principles of community democracy can be traded off against the principles of administrative efficiency.

WALGA's resolution contemplates the removal the numeral 2 and its replacement with the numeral 1 in clause 8 of Schedule 2.1 of the *Local Government Act 1995*. That is a good thing because it would open up the poll provisions for proposals that would abolish only 1 local government rather than confine it to 2 or more.

#### Genuine Boundary Adjustments

More importantly, State Council's resolution goes a step further and says that if <u>significant</u> boundary adjustments are to occur that don't involve the abolition of local governments, then there should be some criteria attached to these as well so that the poll provisions can also be accessed by the community where significant change is involved.

State Council's proposed access to the poll provisions for genuine boundary adjustments therefore represents a strengthening of the poll provisions. That also is a good thing.



However the criteria that has been set for what constitutes a significant boundary adjustment (i.e. population, or rateable properties, or revenue) and the 50% figure attached to them has raised some concerns in terms of the ongoing sustainability of surviving local governments.

No explanation has been provided to the local government sector on how the criteria were selected and how the 50% figure was arrived at. Part b) of the motion before the AGM is intended to get the discussion going and provide a way forward.

# Suspension of the Poll Provisions

At the meeting of State Council held on 4 September 2013 it was resolved that WALGA adopt a policy position to;

Oppose the State Government's proposal to remove in perpetuity the poll provisions for the metropolitan area contained in Schedule 2.1 of the Local Government Act 1995.

The use of the words "in perpetuity" suggests that WALGA may be amenable to the temporary suspension or dilution of the poll provisions. The policy position of WALGA as it is currently expressed, could therefore be used as leverage by the State Government to change the poll provisions for the worse.

Part c) of the motion is intended to make WALGA's policy position on the matter very clear.

### **SECRETARIAT COMMENT**

The motion is generally consistent with the Association's policy positions