

MS CASR175 AIP Annual Review

Conducted for:

Eucla Aerodrome

Conducted on:

8 July 2024

Title:

YECL Aerodrome - CASR175 AIP Annual Review

Lead Auditor:Scott McKenzie

Other Auditors / Stakeholders:

AIP Responsible Person:

Unknown

amsaustralia.com













Airport Design - Airport Operations - Airport Operational Training - Airport Security & Screening Operations - Annual Technical Inspections - Auditing & Compliance - Construction - Certification - Electrical and Lighting - Solar Airport Lighting

ADMIN@AMSAUSTRALIA.COM

PHONE: +61 8 9221 6777

ABN: 78 625 913 484

RTO PROVIDER ID: 52413



YECL Aerodrome - CASR175 AIP Annual Review

AUDIT SCOPE

Annual review of the airport AIP information in accordance with CASR175.

AUDIT OBJECTIVE

Ensure AIP information published to Air Crew, AirServices etc. is correct and all information in the ADP / AIP / AD Manual etc. is correctly published.

AUDIT STANDARD(S)

Part 175 Civil Aviation Safety Regulations (CASR) 1998

Part 139 (Aerodromes) Manual of Standards 2019

Air Services Australia Data Product Specification

AUDIT SUMMARY

Reviewed the following document versions in this audit...

Country Airstrips Guide (CAG) 34th Edition - January 2021

Google Earth - Sourced 8th July 2024

AUDIT SUMMARY

1. Due dates set, for actions, have taken into account the last date where approved change requests to the AIP must be submitted to AIS Data Services. As such they have been set a few days earlier than the cut-off date to allow for discussion, amendments etc. to occur.

A	AMS YECL Aerodrome - CASR175 AIP Annual Review								
Ref.	Question	Response	Comments / Evidence / Finding(s)	Action(s) Required	Due Date	Action(s) Taken	Status		
A - F	ollow-Up Items (from	previou	us Audit)						
A1									
A2									
A3							<u> </u>		
A4									
A5									
AS									

Acrodrome Menagement Services Pry	AMS YECL Aerodrome - CASR175 AIP Annual Review							
Ref.	Question	Response	Comments / Evidence / Finding(s)	Action(s) Required	Due Date	Action(s) Taken	Status	
L.0 CASR	175 General							
AIP data required check m and if th the accu the audi either w AMS dep site plan informat the infor	and that this self check of the for the aerodrome is a legally one. (CASR175.465) The self ust be carried out thoroughly ere is any uncertainty about racy of information checked, for (you) must follow up ith the assistance of other partments, local surveyors, ners or other such suitable ion sources to determine that mation (ultimately published accurate to the best of of abilities.	Acceptable	Read and Understood	NII			Closed	

Ref.	Question	Response	Comments / Evidence / Finding(s)	Action(s) Required	Due Date	Action(s) Taken	Status
2.0	ERSA Facilities (FAC)						
	Aerodrome Elevation cross checks against known information.	Acceptable	CAG has Elevation of 20			Nil	Closed
ERSA N/A	WEBCAGADPATINOTAMADOYesYesN/AN/AN/A						
2.02	The ARP Coordinates are consistent with the known information and		CAG Coordinates match Google Earth			Nil	
	cross checks on Google Earth or		Editii				
	Aerodrome Diagrams.	Acceptable					Closed
ERSA	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO						
N/A	Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A		Aerodrome Diagram sourced		<u> </u>		
2.03	The facilities diagram accurately represents the facilities available.		from Country Airstrips Guide				
	Check for common errors, such as						
	missing PAPI, taxiway designators,	Acceptable					Closed
	IWDI location etc.						
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO Yes Yes N/A						
2.04	Aerodrome Remarks are Correct.	Corrective	Are aircraft charged for landing?	Update website & CAG if all	31/07/2024		
		Action		Aircraft are charged landing fees			Open
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO Yes Yes N/A	Required					
	Handling Services and Facilities are		Nil			Nil	
	advertised correctly and reflect the						
	actual hours of operation.	Acceptable					Closed
		7.000					C.CCC LI
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO Yes Yes N/A						
	Passenger Facilities are advertised		Nil			Nil	
	correctly.	Acceptable					Closed
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO Yes Yes N/A						
	Ground frequencies such as UNICOM		Nil			Nil	
	are advertised correctly.						
		Acceptable					Closed
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO Yes Yes N/A						

Ref.	Question	Response	Comments / Evidence / Finding(s)	Action(s) Required	Due Date	Action(s) Taken	Status
	Aprons and taxiways are correctly detailed. The aprons and taxiways listed accurately represents the current configuration of the aerodrome. Are there identifiers on the taxiways, IE A Alpha, B Bravo, etc. WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO NO Yes N/A	Corrective Action Required	Shire to provide width of Taxiway A	Amend website to show width of Taxiway A	31/07/2024		Open
2.09	Aerodrome obstacles are correctly referenced with information correctly corresponding to known obstacle information in the Aerodrome Manual, on Obstacle Limitation Drawings or otherwise. The position of obstacles appears to cross check when compared on Google Earth. WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO	Acceptable	No known obstacles			Nil	Closed
N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A Meteorological Information appears		No BoM Facility			Nil	
ERSA N/A	CORRECT. WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO N/A N/A N/A	Acceptable					Closed
2.11	Physical Characteristics of runways cross checks against known information in the aerodrome manual. WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO Yes Yes N/A	Corrective Action Required	Data sourced from Country Airstrip Guide and cross checked with Google Earth where possible	Shire to confirm; 1. Max MTOW of aircraft 2. is RWY 18/36 operational 3. Runway & Runway Strip Widths for both runways	31/07/2024		Open
	Aerodrome Lighting correctly represents actual lighting systems installed at the aerodrome. WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO NO Yes N/A	Corrective Action Required	CAG states PAL available but no details on frequency, in situ v portable lighting or PN	Shire to confirm; 1. Is lighting portable or perm 2. PAL frequency 3. Emerg Only? 4. Solar or reticulated power	31/07/2024		Open
	ATS Communication Facilities is checked for accuracy. WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO Yes Yes N/A	Acceptable	Details from CAG			Nil	Closed

Ref.	Question	Response	Comments / Evidence /	Action(s)	Due	Action(s)	Status
			Finding(s)	Required	Date	Taken	
2.14	Navigation Aids represent actual		Nil			Nil	
	Navigation Aids installed at the						
	aerodrome.	Acceptable					Closed
	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO						
N/A	N/A N/A N/A		A I'I			I _{A1*1}	
2.15	Local Traffic Regulations represents		Nil			Nil	
	actual procedures enforced at the	Acceptable					Closed
ERSA	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO	Acceptable					Closed
N/A	N/A N/A N/A						
	CTAF Frequency represents the		Nil			Nil	
2.10	actual frequency used at the						
	aerodrome.	Acceptable					Closed
ERSA	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO						
N/A	Yes Yes N/A						
2.17	Additional Information is valid and		Nil			Nil	
	accurate.	Acceptable					Closed
ERSA	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO	Acceptable					Closed
N/A	N/A N/A N/A						
2.18	Charts related to the Aerodrome. The		Nil			Nil	
	WAC chart indicated is the correct						
	one and where the aerodrome has an						
	instrument approach there is a line						
	referencing AIP departure and	Acceptable					Closed
	approach procedures.						
ERSA	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO						
Yes	Yes Yes N/A						
2.19	Contact information in ERSA FAC is		Contact details are for Eucla	Compile correct ARO &	31/07/2024		
	correct. This includes all listed	Corrective	Motor Hotel	Aerodrome Manager contact			
	telephone numbers, email addresses,	Action		details and update website & CAG			Open
	fax numbers etc.	Required					Орсп
ERSA	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO	Required					
N/A	No No N/A						
2.20	Flight Procedures - If flight procedure		Nil			Nil	
	details are present in the ERSA FAC,						
	the information is correct and						
	consistent with other information						
	references including WEBS, ADP and	Acceptable					Closed
	/ or Aerodrome Manual.						
ERSA	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO						
N/A	N/A N/A N/A						

Ref.	Question	Response	Comments / Evidence / Finding(s)	Action(s) Required	Due Date	Action(s) Taken	Status
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	Acceptable	Nil			Nil	Closed
	ADP / Aeronautical Data Package - A consistency check has been carried out, comparing the information in ERSA against the information contained in the ADP and any other AIP information contained within the aerodrome manual suite. Disagreement between ERSA and ADP / Aerodrome Manual AIP information must be remediated. WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO N/A N/A N/A N/A	Acceptable	Nil			Nil	Closed

Ref.	Question	Response	Comments / Evidence /	Action(s)	Due	Action(s)	Status			
			Finding(s)	Required	Date	Taken				
3.0	3.0 ERSA Runway Distance Supplement (RDS)									
3.01	Declared distances are correctly published including TORA, TODA,		RDS Data unavailable as there is no survey data			Nil				
	ASDA, LDA, STODAs	Acceptable	,				Closed			
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO									
	Information about inner edge widths		Nil		Ì	Nil				
	(where published) appears correct.	Acceptable					Closed			
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO									
	Runway slope is correctly published.		Nil			Nil				
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO	Acceptable					Closed			
_	Runway Width and Runway Strip		Nil		<u> </u>	Nil				
	Width information appears to be published correctly.	Acceptable					Closed			
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO									
3.05	Information about displaced thresholds (where relevant) is		Nil			Nil				
	published correctly.	Acceptable					Closed			
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO									
3.06	Information about RESA Dimensions and start point is published correctly.		Nil			Nil				
	and start point is published correctly.	Acceptable					Closed			
ERSA N/A	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO									

Ref.	Question	Response	Comments / Evidence /	Action(s)	Due	Action(s)	Status
			Finding(s)	Required	Date	Taken	
3.07	ADP / Aeronautical Data Package - A		Nil			Nil	
	consistency check has been carried						
	out, comparing the information in						
	RDS against the information						
	contained in the ADP and any other						
	RDS related information contained						
	within the aerodrome manual suite.						
	Check the latest runway survey						
	information (typically carried out	Acceptable					Closed
	during the ATI) and verify that the						
	survey information is consistent with						
	ADP and is consistent with RDS.						
	Disagreement between RDS or ADP						
	or Runway Surveys must						
	be investigated / remediated.						
ERSA	WEB CAG ADP ATI NOTAM ADO						
	N/A N/A N/A						
4.0	AIP Authorised Persor	าร					
4.01	AIP Responsible Person - A suitable		Nil			Nil	
	person is nominated and represents						
	current personnel at the aerodrome.	Acceptable					Open
4.02	AIP NOTAM Persons - The		Nil			Nil	1
	Aerodrome is maintaining a list						
	of NOTAM authorised persons						
	for the aerodrome in NAIPS						
	user / group management and	Acceptable					Open
	this list of authorised NOTAM						
	people has been						
	communicated with						
	Airservices.						